

Children's Physical Activity and On-Task Behavior following a Classroom Intervention Tan Leng Goh¹, James C. Hannon¹, Timothy A. Brusseau¹, Collin A. Webster², and Jessyka Larson¹ ¹University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT

ABSTRACT

Background/Purpose

Increasing physical activity (PA) levels of children is the goal of many school-based PA promotion programs. Children should accumulate at least 60 minutes of PA per day for enhanced health benefits. However, only 42% of children aged 6 to 11 years obtained the recommended 60 minutes of PA per day.

Children who go through prolonged periods of academic instruction often become more fidgety or restless and experience reduced concentration. Direct observation in the classroom is the best strategy to measure students' on-task behavior, but such behavior is typically more difficult to measure because of the burden placed on the observers, and time required for recording the observation. Previous research indicates that PA breaks in the general education classroom can increase children's PA and on-task behavior. To build on previous research, the purpose of the present study was to examine the effect of a classroom PA break intervention, TAKE 10[®], on children's PA and on-task behavior.

METHODS

Participants

- 210 elementary school children
- 3-5 grades (three 3rd, three 4th, and three 5th grade classes)
- Racially diverse school near a large Southwestern city in United States
- Exclusion criteria: serious health conditions, injuries or illnesses

Measures

Physical Activity

Yamax Digiwalker Pedometers (CW 600)

On-task Behavior

- Momentary time sampling method
- 5-second interval
- Coding form



²University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC

METHODS (CONTINUE)

Procedures

- 12-week project: 4-week baseline, 8-week TAKE 10![®] intervention
- Teachers trained to implement TAKE 10[®] in the classroom
- Average of 1 TAKE 10[®] activity was implemented during each school day

Physical Activity

- Data was collected at baseline (week 1), mid-intervention (week 8), and end-intervention (week 12)
- Students wore pedometers from Monday to Thursday during the school day at weeks 1, 8 and 12
- Values below 1,000 and above 30,000 were treated as outliers

On-task Behavior

- Weeks 1-4: Baseline observation. Weeks 9-12: Intervention observation
- I primary observer. Secondary observers observe 50% of all observations
- 30 minute observation pre-no TAKE 10[®] and post-no TAKE 10[®] during baseline
- 30 minute observation pre-TAKE 10[®] and post-TAKE 10[®] during intervention

Data Analysis

Physical Activity

- Daily in-school PA levels quantified by average number of steps
- Repeated measure ANOVA compared means between baseline, mid-intervention and end-intervention
- Significance Levels at .05

On-task Behavior

- 4 means of on-task behavior
- ➢pre-no TAKE 10![®] (baseline)
- ➢post-no TAKE 10![®] (baseline)
- ➢ pre-TAKE 10![®] (intervention)
- \rightarrow post-TAKE 10![®] (intervention)
- Two-way (time x period) repeated-measures analysis of variance Bonferroni procedure adjusted for multiple comparison

RESULTS

Physical Activity

	Step Counts (Mean ±
Baseline	5629 ± 1232
Mid-intervention	$6301 \pm 1500^*$
End-intervention	5477 ± 1417

* p < 0.001 between baseline and mid-intervention

On-task Behavior

	On-Task Behavior Percentage (Mean ± SD)
Pre-no TAKE 10!®	91.2 ± 3.4
Post-no TAKE 10!®	$83.5 \pm 4.0^*$
Pre-TAKE 10!®	82.3 ± 4.5
Post-TAKE 10!®	89.5 ± 2.7 [#]
Pre-TAKE 10!® Post-TAKE 10!®	82.3 ± 4.5 89.5 ± 2.7 [#]

* p = 0.001 between pre and post No TAKE 10

[#] p = 0.001 between pre and post TAKE 10

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

COLLEGE OF HEALTH



We would like to thank the school principal, teachers and students who made this project possible. We would also like to thank Mara Rabin, Mitchell Peterson, Noelle Whitaker, and You Fu who served as secondary observers in the on-task behavior data collection.

Grant Program.

 Children's on-task behavior increased by 7.2% during intervention and decreased by 7.7% during baseline

96% inter-observer reliability between primary and secondary observers Children's in-school PA increased significantly by approximately 672 steps from baseline to mid-intervention

The decrease in in-school PA during end-intervention may be attributed to reduction in outdoor PA participation during the winter season

More sources of indoor PA should be provided during the winter season

Beighle, A., Erwin, H., Morgan, C. F., & Alderman, B. (2012). Children's in-school and outof-school physical activity during two seasons. Research Quarterly for Exercise and

Grieco, L. A., Jowers, E. M., & Bartholomew, J. B. (2009). Physically active academic lessons and time on task: the moderating effect of body mass index. *Medicine* & *Science in Sports & Exercise, 41(10), 1921-1926.*

Mahar, M. T., Murphy, S. K., Rowe, D. A., Golden, J., Shields, A. T., & Raedeke, T. D. (2006). Effects of a classroom-based program on physical activity and on-task behavior. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 38(12), 2086-2094.

McKenzie, T. L., Feldman, H., Woods, S. E., Romero, K. L., Dahlstrom, V., Stone, E. J., Strikmiller, P. K., Williston, J. M., & Harsha, D. W. (1995). Children's activity levels and lesson context during third-grade physical education. Research Quarterly for Exercise

Rowe, D. A., Mahar, M. T., Raedeke, T. D., & Lore, J. (2004). Measuring physical activity in children with pedometers: Reliability, reactivity, and replacement of missing data. Pediatric Exercise Science, 16(4), 343-354.

Stewart, James A., Dennison, David A., Kohl, Harold W., & Doyle, J. Andrew. (2004). Exercise level and energy expenditure in the TAKE 10!® in-class physical activity program. Journal of School Health, 74(10), 397-400.

Troiano, R. P., Berrigan, D., Dodd, K. W., Mâsse, L. C., Tilert, T., & McDowell, M. (2008). Physical activity in the United States measured by accelerometer. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 40(1), 181-188.

van der Mars, H. (1989). Basic recording tactics. In P. W. Darst, D. B. Zakrajsek & V. H. Mancini (Eds.), Analyzing physical education and sport instruction (pp. 19-51). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by funds received from the AAHPERD Research